



# Submission to the Joint Standing Committee on Treaties on the Optional Protocol to the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities

22 January 2009

Human Rights Law Resource Centre Ltd Level 17, 461 Bourke Street Melbourne VIC 3000 www.hrlrc.org.au

#### Contact:

Rachel Ball Human Rights Law Resource Centre Ltd Level 17, 461 Bourke Street Melbourne VIC 3000

T: + 61 3 8636 4433 F: + 61 3 8636 4455

E: rachel.ball@hrlrc.org.au

W: www.hrlrc.org.au

Emily Howie
Human Rights Law Resource Centre Ltd
Level 17, 461 Bourke Street
Melbourne VIC 3000

T: + 61 3 8636 4432 F: + 61 3 8636 4455

E: emily.howie@hrlrc.org.au

W: www.hrlrc.org.au

#### **About the Human Rights Law Resource Centre**

The HRLRC is the first national specialist human rights legal centre in Australia. It aims to promote human rights in Australia – particularly the human rights of people who are disadvantaged or living in poverty – through the practice of law.

The HRLRC's activities include human rights casework, litigation, policy analysis and advocacy, education, training and research.

The HRLRC provides and supports human rights litigation, education, training, research and advocacy services to:

- (a) contribute to the harmonisation of law, policy and practice in Victoria and Australia with international human rights norms and standards;
- (b) support and enhance the capacity of the legal profession, judiciary, government and community sector to develop Australian law and policy consistently with international human rights standards; and
- (c) empower people who are disadvantaged or living in poverty by operating within a human rights framework.

# CONTENTS

1.	OVERVIEW
2.	BACKGROUND
3.	BENEFITS OF ACCESSION TO THE OPTIONAL PROTOCOL
3.1	Complement and Strengthen Existing Mechanisms Protecting Disability Rights 7
3.2	Foster and Promote Analysis and Change
3.3	Australia's International Role
3.4	Consistency with the Australian Government's Commitment to Constructive Engagement with the UN Human Rights System
3.5	Enhance Public Awareness and Understanding
4.	IMPLEMENTATION OBLIGATIONS
5.	THE OPT-OUT CLAUSE

## 1. Overview

- 1. The Human Rights Law Resource Centre (**HRLRC**) unreservedly supports Australia's accession to the *Optional Protocol to the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities* (**Optional Protocol**).<sup>1</sup>
- 2. The HRLRC considers that accession to the Optional Protocol would:
  - (a) complement and strengthen existing domestic mechanisms designed to promote disability rights;
  - (b) foster and promote analysis and change;
  - (c) strengthen Australia's role within the international community;
  - (d) promote constructive engagement with the UN human rights system and contribute to the harmonisation of domestic laws, policies and practices with international human rights standards; and
  - (e) enhance public awareness and understanding of the rights of people living with disabilities.
- 3. The HRLRC further considers that the Optional Protocol can be implemented with relative ease within Australia's existing political and legal structures and is very unlikely to subject the Australian Government to a flood of complaints and investigations.
- 4. Each of these issues is considered below.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Optional Protocol to the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities, 13 December 2006 (entered into force 3 May, 2008).

# 2. Background

- 5. The Australian Government ratified the *Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities* (**CRPD**) on 17 July 2008.<sup>2</sup> The CRPD enshrines the civil, political, economic, social and cultural rights that are necessary to ensure that people with disabilities have the capacity and opportunity to fully participate in and contribute to our community. It sets out a detailed code for the implementation of human rights for persons with disabilities.
- 6. The UN High Commissioner for Human Rights characterised the CRPD as rejecting the 'view of persons with disabilities as objects of charity, medical treatment and social protection' and affirming persons with disability as 'subjects of rights, able to claim those rights as active members of society'. This paradigm shift has been welcomed by disability advocacy groups around the world.
- 7. Both the National Interest Analysis and the Joint Standing Committee on Treaties (**JSCOT**) recommended that Australia ratify the CRPD and strongly supported the CRPD's goals.<sup>4</sup>
- 8. The Optional Protocol entered into force on 3 May 2008 and has been signed by 78 States and ratified by 25. The Optional Protocol does not grant additional rights above those already recognised in the CRPD. Rather, the Optional Protocol establishes two procedures designed to supplement the CRPD and strengthen and promote its implementation. These procedures are:
  - (a) a communication procedure; and
  - (b) an inquiry procedure.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities, 13 December 2006, (entered into force 3 May 2008). For a current list of States parties see: http://www2.ohchr.org/english/bodies/ratification/15.htm

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Statement by Louise Arbour UN High Commissioner for Human Rights on the Ad Hoc Committee's adoption of the International Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities, 5 December 2006.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> National Interest Analysis on the United Nations Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities, [2008] ATNIA 18, tabled on 4 June 2008 (**CRPD NIA**); Joint Standing Committee on Treaties, Parliament of Australia, *Report 95: Treaties tabled on 4 June, 17 June, 25 June and 26 August 2008,* tabled 16 October 2008 (**JSCOT Report**). The JSCOT Report advises at [2.57] that '[i]n the event that the Australian Government decided to ratify the Optional Protocol, the protocol would be referred to this Committee prior to binding treaty action being taken. At that point the Committee would conduct an inquiry into the question of ratification. The Committee urges the Government to consider the views expressed in submissions to this inquiry when developing its approach to the Optional Protocol.'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> For a current list of States parties to the Optional Protocol see: http://www2.ohchr.org/english/bodies/ratification/15a.htm

- 9. The communication procedure allows individuals or groups of individuals or people acting on their behalf to submit a communication to the Committee on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (the **Disability Committee**) alleging violations of the substantive rights protected under the CRPD.
- 10. The inquiry procedure allows the Disability Committee to initiate inquiries into reliable information indicating grave or systematic violations of the CRPD by a State Party.
- 11. The National Interest Analysis on the Optional Protocol (**Optional Protocol NIA**) was tabled in Parliament on 3 December 2008. The Optional Protocol NIA supports Australia's accession to the Optional Protocol on the basis that it would 'render Australia more accountable for its Convention obligations and further promote disability rights within Australia' and 'present Australia as an international leader committed to protecting the rights of people with disability.'6

6

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Optional Protocol NIA at [5].

# 3. Benefits of Accession to the Optional Protocol

# 3.1 Complement and Strengthen Existing Mechanisms Protecting Disability Rights

- 12. It is recognised that people with disabilities are more vulnerable than the broader community to a range of deprivations and disadvantages, including poverty, social exclusion, discrimination, poor health, unemployment and low educational attainment.<sup>7</sup>
- 13. With one in five Australians living with a disability, a robust approach towards the protection and promotion of disability rights and a strong commitment to compliance with the CRPD are imperative. Ratification of the Optional Protocol would constitute a positive indication of Australia's willingness to be accountable for the implementation of its CRPD obligations.
- 14. Currently Commonwealth, State and Territory legislation and policies exist to protect and promote the rights of people living with disabilities. Significant examples include the Federal *Disability Discrimination Act 1992*, State and Territory anti-discrimination legislation,<sup>9</sup> Commonwealth, State and Territory Disability Services Acts,<sup>10</sup> the Commonwealth State-Territory Disability Agreement and the National Disability Strategy.
- 15. The Optional Protocol is not intended to replace existing domestic mechanisms or to eliminate the need for analysis and change in fact, the goal of the Optional Protocol is to strengthen the protection and promotion of disability rights at the domestic level. However, even where domestic measures are strong, in some circumstance they might fail. If this occurs, access to international mechanisms provides a secondary procedure by which people with disabilities can establish a violation of their human rights and seek an appropriate remedy.
- 16. It is a basic principle of international human rights law that the obligation to respect, protect and fulfil human rights includes a duty to provide effective remedies to victims

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> See, eg, UN, From Exclusion to Equality: Realizing the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (2007) 1-6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Australian Bureau of Statistics estimation and projection based on 2003 census data ('Disability, Ageing and Carers: Summary of Findings', Australia, 2003 (cat. no. 4430.0)).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Anti-Discrimination Act 1977 (NSW); Anti-Discrimination Act 1998 (NT); Anti-Discrimination Act 1991 (Qld); Anti-Discrimination Act 1998 (Tas); Discrimination Act 1991 (ACT); Equal Opportunity Act 1984 (SA); Equal Opportunity Act 1995 (Vic); and Equal Opportunity Act 1984 (WA).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Disability Services Act 1986 (Cth); Disability Services Act 1991 (ACT); Disability Services Act 1993 (NSW); Disability Services Act 2004 (NT); Disability Services Act 2006 (Qld); Disability Services Act 1993 (SA); Disability Services Act 1992 (Tas); Disability Services Act 1991 (Vic) and Intellectually Disabled Persons' Services Act 1986 (Vic); and Disability Services Act 1993 (WA).

where their rights have been breached.<sup>11</sup> The Optional Protocol provides an additional means by which the Australian Government can ensure that this obligation is met.

- 17. Further, there is strong evidence that a human rights approach to disability and disadvantage can:<sup>12</sup>
  - (a) empower marginalised and vulnerable individuals, communities and groups;
  - (b) provide a framework for the development of more effective, efficient and holistic public and social policy;
  - (c) promote more flexible, responsive, individualised and 'consumer friendly' public and social services;
  - (d) challenge 'poor treatment' and thereby 'improve the quality of life' of marginalised and disadvantaged individuals and groups; and
  - (e) assist in the development of more effective social inclusion and poverty reduction strategies.
- 18. There is an increasing body of economic research which demonstrates that there is a strong correlation between effective and equitable social policy, on the one hand, and economic development and growth on the other. Seen this way, the effective implementation of the CRPD may yield economic benefit. As a mechanism designed to promote effective implementation, the Optional Protocol contributes to the realisation of this benefit.

## 3.2 Foster and Promote Analysis and Change

19. Despite the progress that has been made in the field of disability rights in Australia, significant challenges remain. For example, there is significant evidence that the support

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, 16 December 1966 (entered into force 23 March 1976), article 2(3) (ICCPR); Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights, Basic Principles and Guidelines on the Right to a Remedy and Reparation for Victims of Gross Violations of International Human Rights Law and Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law, Adopted and proclaimed by General Assembly resolution 60/147 of 16 December 2005.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> See, eg, British Institute of Human Rights, *The Human Rights Act: Changing Lives* (2007); Department for Constitutional Affairs (UK), *Review of the Implementation of the Human Rights Act* (2006); Ministry of Justice (UK), *Human Rights Insights Report* (2008); Audit Commission (UK), *Human Rights Act: Improving Public Services* (2003); Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights, *Guidelines on a Human Rights Approach to Poverty Reduction Strategies* (2002).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> See, eg, Thandika Mkandawire (ed), *Social Policy in a Development Context* (2004); Amartya Sen, *Development as Freedom* (1999); Nick Pearce and Will Paxton (eds), *Social Justice: Building a Fairer Britain* (2005).

and services available to people with disabilities and their carers is inadequate.

According to recent research by the Australian Institute of Health and Welfare, over 23,000 people are waiting for accommodation and respite services nationally and almost 4000 need community access services.<sup>14</sup>

- 20. While Australia's existing legal instruments are generally considered to be relatively advanced in terms of the extent to which they recognise and address disability rights, the HRLRC considers that it would be premature to assert that Australia is already fully compliant with the CRPD.<sup>15</sup>
- 21. Ratification of the Optional Protocol will encourage current and future Australian governments to improve upon existing mechanisms for the protection of disability rights and to take additional steps to implement the CRPD.
- Use of the enforcement procedures under the Optional Protocol also enables the experts on the Disability Committee to develop a focused and detailed jurisprudence that can assist Australia in the implementation of its normative obligations under the CRPD to promote the rights of persons living with disabilities.
- 23. Another benefit flowing from ratification is the opportunity it presents for Australians to engage in the development of the international community's understanding of equality and human rights.<sup>16</sup>

#### 3.3 Australia's International Role

- 24. Australia played a central role in the negotiation of the CRPD and signed on the first day that the CRPD was open for signature. Australia should be proud of its role in the promotion of disability rights at the international level. Ratification of the Optional Protocol would allow Australia to continue to play a leadership role in this important area.
- 25. In November last year an Australian, Professor McCallum AO, was elected as one of 12 experts to the first monitoring committee for the CRPD. Given this clear expression of commitment to the CRPD and its aims, it is vital that Australia continue to engage fully with the CRPD and the Optional Protocol.

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Australian Institute of Health and Welfare 2007. "Current and future demand for specialist disability services." Disability series. Cat. no. DIS 50.Canberra: AIHW, 118-119.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> CRPD NIA and JSCOT Reports, above n 4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Emilia Dela Torre, "Women's Business: The development of an Optional Protocol to the United Nations Women's Convention", *Australian Journal of Human Rights*, vol 9, (2000). The HRLRC very warmly welcomes the election of an Australian, Prof Ron McCallum, to the Disability Committee in this regard.

In addition, Australia is already party to individual communication procedures under the International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination, the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights and the Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment. Accession to the Optional Protocol would signify that the Australian Government recognises and respects the human rights of people living with disabilities to the same extent as other human rights. Further, as stated in the Optional Protocol NIA, accession to the Optional Protocol will 'demonstrate the Australian Government's confidence that the level of protection it affords to people with a disability meets internationally required standards'. 17

#### 3.4 Constructive Engagement with the UN Human Rights System

- 27. Active membership of the UN is one of the 'three pillars' underpinning the Australian Government's approach to foreign policy. <sup>18</sup> As stated in the Optional Protocol NIA, Australia's ratification of the Optional Protocol would demonstrate Australia's ongoing commitment to constructive engagement with the UN human rights system, 'as the primary organisation through which global challenges, such as the protection of human rights, are addressed'. <sup>19</sup>
- 28. Further, accession to the Optional Protocol would strengthen Australia's reputation and standing as it seeks to position itself as an effective international citizen and regional human rights leader.

## 3.5 Enhance Public Awareness and Understanding

- 29. Ratification of the Optional Protocol would raise awareness of the CRPD and of the rights in people with disabilities more generally. The JSCOT Report stated that the CRPD 'serves an important educative purpose by fostering a more inclusive society and further encouraging the participation of people with disability in the wider community.'<sup>20</sup> Similarly, the Optional Protocol contributes to the achievement of this goal.
- 30. In addition, the positive symbolism associated with a public commitment to the full realisation of the human rights of people with disabilities should not be underestimated. Accession to the Optional Protocol would have important normative and educative impacts. Historically, 'disability has been an invisible element of international human

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> See Optional Protocol NIA, [6].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> See Optional Protocol NIA, [7].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Optional Protocol NIA, [7].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> JSCOT Report, above n 4 [2.23].

rights law'.<sup>21</sup> Australia's full commitment to the international recognition of the rights of people with disability is required if this trend is to be reversed in the domestic setting.

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Rosemary Kayess and Phillip French, "Out of Darkness into Light? Introducing the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities", *Human Rights Law Review* 8(1) (2008), 12. Despite being the 'largest minority' group in the world, persons with disability are not explicitly recognised in the equality clauses in the *Universal Declaration of Human Rights*, the ICCPR or ICESCR.

# 4. Implementation Obligations

- 31. The HRLRC considers that the Optional Protocol can be implemented with relative ease and minimal cost within Australia's existing political and legal structures. This was confirmed in the Optional Protocol NIA, which stated that the costs of preparing and submitting written explanations or statements to the Disability Committee, as well as the costs of any visits by the Disability Committee would be absorbed within the budgets of the Attorney-General's Department or any other departments involved.<sup>22</sup>
- 32. The Optional Protocol does not require any domestic legislative or policy to changes in order to be implemented.<sup>23</sup> It also does not impose any new substantive obligations outside a commitment to co-operate in the communication and inquiry procedures.
- 33. In addition, article 2 of the Optional Protocol sets out strict admissibility requirements that must be met before the Disability Committee can consider the merits of a communication alleging a violation of the CRPD by Australia. A communication will also be declared inadmissible if:
  - (a) it is anonymous;
  - (b) it constitutes an abuse of the right of submission of such communications or is incompatible with the provisions of the CRPD;
  - (c) the same matter has already been examined by the Disability Committee or has been or is being examined under another procedure of international investigation or settlement:
  - (d) all available domestic remedies have not been exhausted. This shall not be the rule where the application of the remedies is unreasonably prolonged or unlikely to bring effective relief;
  - (e) it is manifestly ill-founded or not sufficiently substantiated; or when
  - (f) the facts that are the subject of the communication occurred prior to the entry into force of the present Protocol for the State Party concerned unless those facts continued after that date.
- 34. Similarly, stringent threshold requirements must be met before the Disability Committee will initiate an inquiry. First, the Disability Committee must receive reliable information

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> Optional Protocol NIA, [26].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> Optional Protocol NIA, [8].

indicating grave or systematic violations by a State Party of rights guaranteed in the CRPD.<sup>24</sup> The Disability Committee will then invite the State Party to co-operate and make submissions on the information received.<sup>25</sup> The Disability Committee may wish to conduct a visit to the State Party concerned, but may only do so with that State Party's consent.<sup>26</sup> It is clear that any inquiries conducted by the Disability Committee would only be done in cooperation with the State Party and would also be confidential.<sup>27</sup>

- 35. At the conclusion of an inquiry or a communication, the Disability Committee will issue its findings, comments and recommendations to the State Party. The State Party is then required, within six months, to submit its observations on the findings, comments and recommendations to the Disability Committee.<sup>28</sup>
- 36. In light of Australia's existing high level of compliance with CRPD and the strict admissibility requirements, ratification of the Optional Protocol is very unlikely to subject the Australian Government to a flood of complaints and investigations.<sup>29</sup> Indeed the Optional Protocol NIA stated that given the effective domestic remedies available, it is unlikely that a large number of complaints will be brought before the Disability Committee.<sup>30</sup>
- 37. As mentioned above, Australia is already party to individual communication procedures under the *International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination*, the *International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights* and the *Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment.* Australia's experience as a party to these human rights treaties makes it clear that international communication mechanisms do not undermine democracy or introduce a Bill of Rights 'through the back door'. <sup>31</sup> Rather, they promote the recognition, enjoyment and exercise of human rights and fundamental freedoms of Australian citizens.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> Optional Protocol, Article 6(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> Optional Protocol, Article 6(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> Optional Protocol, Article 6(2).

<sup>30</sup> Optional Protocol, Article 6(5).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> Optional Protocol, Article 6(4).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> See also Optional Protocol NIA, [13].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> Optional Protocol NIA, [10].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> Hilary Charlesworth, "Australia's Accession to the First Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights", (1991-1992), 18 *Melbourne University Law Review* 428, 431.

# 5. The Opt-out Clause

- 38. While article 8 of the Optional Protocol contains an 'opt-out clause' which allows a State Party to declare that it does not recognise the Disability Committee's competence with respect to the inquiry procedure, the HRLRC considers that the Optional Protocol should be acceded to in full. The inquiry procedure enables the Disability Committee to address widespread violations and to make recommendations to combat the structural causes of failure to realise disability rights.
- 39. The inquiry procedure is particularly important in the context of discrimination and equality rights. Discrimination is often systemic in nature and systemic discrimination is often ill-suited to the incident-specific analysis that takes place under the Optional Protocol's communication mechanism. Opting out of the inquiry procedure would exclude the opportunity that the Optional Protocol provides to consider and combat these more insidious forms of discrimination against people with disabilities.
- 40. Given Australia's leadership role in the field of disability rights, its failure to support the Optional Protocol in full would send a negative message to other States who might be considering ratification.
- 41. Further, opting out of the inquiry procedure would weaken Australia's role within the international community and undermine its claim to leadership in the promotion of human rights. If Australia is to enjoy the full range of benefits that accompany accession to the Optional Protocol it must ratify the Optional Protocol in full.